UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,474	02/03/2006	Andreas Michl	01012-1038	9387
	7590 04/06/200 G MORI & STEINER,	EXAMINER		
918 Prince St.	ŕ	LEE, JAE YOUNG		
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,474	MICHL, ANDREAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAE Y. LEE	2419	

	ONE I. EEE	2410
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavinal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	verco <mark>me <u>all</u> rejections under appea</mark>	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
13. Other:		
/Daniel J. Ryman/	/Jae Y Lee/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419	Examiner, Art Unit 2419	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On pages 7-8, the applicant argues that Prunthi does not teach "the sequence of messages read in by the selector is dependent upon a selection with which a specific point of the course of the first characteristic feature is selectable in the second region."

Examiner respecfully disagrees with the applicant's argument because the Prunthi teaches "sequence of messages (Fig. 17) and processor and query engine generating statistics corresponding to packets (paragraph 0036 lines 3-5)." Leftwich teaches "cursor accessing particual data points and displaying values of the data plots at the cursor position in data fields of window (Fig. 4; col 5 lines 20-41)." It is obvious that Prunthi in view of Leftwich teaches displaying statistics of data plots in the data fields where cursor accessing particual data points of the sequece of message plots.